

CONSTANTIN LUFT (03/01/2023)

constantin.luft@wwu.de
constantinluft@gmx.de

Editorship

Fictions in Law, Literature, and Philosophy – New Perspectives. Special Issue of *Law and Literature*. Routledge 2023 (co-edited with Nursan Celik and Laura Zander, in preparation).

Journal Articles/Book Chapters

What's in A Name? Legal Fictions and Philosophical Fictionalism. In: *Fictions in Law, Literature, and Philosophy – New Perspectives*. Special Issue of *Law and Literature*. Routledge 2023 (forthcoming).

Wissenschaftliche Rechtsdogmatik? System, Systematizität und das Demarkationsproblem. *RW* (1) 2023 (forthcoming). [Scientific Legal Doctrine? System, Systematicity and the Demarcation Problem]

Rechtsfiktionen und Fiktionale Rede. Überlegungen im Anschluss an Wolfgang Künne. In: *Die Philosophie von Wolfgang Künne*. Martin Hoffmann & Tobias Martin (eds.). Paderborn: Brill/Mentis Press 2023 (co-authored with Dominique Dos Santos Ferreira, forthcoming). [Legal Fictions and Fictional Speech. Building on Wolfgang Künne]

Justitias Blinder Fleck: Antisemitismus, Adorno und das AGG. In: *Kritische Theorien des Rechts (ARSP-Beiheft)*. Esther Neuhann & Claudia Wirsing (eds.). Stuttgart: Franz Steiner Press 2023 (co-authored with Jeremias Düring, forthcoming). [Iustitia's Blind Spot: Anti-Semitism, Adorno and the General Act on Equal Treatment]

The right to bequeath as a common legal power. In: *Inheritance and the Right to Bequeath: Legal and Philosophical Perspectives*. Hans-Christoph Schmidt am Busch, Daniel Halliday & Thomas Gutmann (eds.). Abingdon, Oxon/New York: Routledge 2022 (co-authored with Thomas Gutmann), 76–94.

What can't be digitized. Ein rechtsphilosophisches Argument für die partielle KI-Resistenz der Anwaltschaft. In: *Legal Tech. Fluch oder Segen für die Anwaltschaft?* Clemens Hunfeld et al. (eds.). Göttingen: Sievers & Partner 2022, 167–188. [What can't be digitized. A philosophical argument for why the legal profession might be partially resistant to AI]

Schillernde Gegenrechte. Eine begriffliche Topographie des neuen Rechts. *ARSP* 2022. Online First. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.25162/arsp-2022-0016> (co-authored with Nils Buchholz). [Ambiguous Counter-Rights. A Conceptual Map of the New Law]

Wer denken will, fliegt raus. Eine „absolventische“ Kritik am ersten juristischen Staatsexamen. *KJ* (4) 2021, 423–434 (co-authored with Daniel Heymann and Hannah Reith). [Anyone Who Wants to Think gets Kicked Out. A Critique of the First State Examination Written by its Graduates]

Der Methodenstreit in der analytischen Rechtsphilosophie. *JURA* 43 (12) 2021, 1418–1425. [The Methodology Dispute in Analytic Jurisprudence]

Carens's Cantilever Argument: Global Freedom of Movement, Logical Necessity and the Burden of Proof. In: *Joseph Carens. Between Aliens and Citizens*. Matthias Hoesch & Nadine Mooren (eds.). Cham: Springer Press 2020, 161–179 (co-authored with Jeremias Düring).

Die Tilgungsbestimmung – Das unbekannte Wesen. *ZfS* (2) 2020, 113–120 (co-authored with Sönke Engelke). [The Redemption Determination in Private Law – An Unknown Entity]

Zu viel versprochen? Die Bindung an den Vertrag als moralisches Versprechen. *StudZRWissOn* (2) 2019, 223–258. [Promised to Much? The Bindingness of Contract as a Moral Promise]

Die “margin of appreciation”-Doktrin. Europäische Besonderheit oder generelles Prinzip? *Der Jurist* 2019, 147–175. [The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine. European Peculiarity or General Principle?]

Der Strohmann ist ein Chamäleon. Was Rechtspositivistinnen behaupten (und was nicht). *FoR* (1) 2019, 5–9. [The Straw Man is a Chameleon. What Legal Positivists Claim (and What They Don't)]

Miscellaneous

Weltumspannende Vernichtungsfantasien: Warum die rechtliche Missbilligung von antisemitischen und rassistischen Kunstwerken unterscheidbar sein sollte, *VerfBlog*, 2023/2/22 (co-authored with Lukas Daum and Jeremias Düring). [Global will to annihilate. Why the legal disapproval of anti-semitic and racist artworks should be distinguishable]

Jura-Bachelor? Mehr Denkverkehr wagen!, *F.A.Z. Einspruch* 2022/7/22 (co-authored with Daniel Heymann and Hannah Reith) [Bachelor of Laws? More communication of thoughts, please!]

Verdient Künstliche Intelligenz irgendwann die Anwaltszulassung? *F.A.Z. Einspruch* 2022/5/1.
[Will AI eventually earn admission to the bar?]

Draft Papers (in progress)

Ius Nullius? Toward a Symmetry Argument regarding Rights for Past and Future People.

Concepts Worth Obeying: A Razian Service Conception for Metaphilosophy.

Animal Minds, Animal Rights. Tiere und der rechtstheoretische Begriff subjektiver Rechte.
[Animal Minds, Animal Rights. Non-Human Animals and the Concept of Rights in Legal Theory]

The Passage of Law: Theorizing Statutes of Limitations (co-authored with Antonio Ruiz Arranz).

Fixing LAW? Conceptual Engineering Meets General Jurisprudence.

What (If Anything) Is Wrong With Legal Fictions?

Selected Talks

The Passage of Law: Theorizing Statutes of Limitations. Colloquium Private Law and Theory (Prof. Gerhard Wagner). 2023 (Humboldt University Berlin) [upcoming]

Fixing LAW? Conceptual Engineering Meets General Jurisprudence. Berlin Legal Theory Seminar (Prof. Tim Wihl and Dr. Johan Horst). 2023 (Humboldt University Berlin) [upcoming]

Ius Nullius? Toward a Symmetry Argument regarding Rights for Past and Future People. Global Constitutionalism Colloquium (Prof. Matthias Kumm). 2023 (WZB: Berlin Social Science Center) [invited]

Wissenschaftliche Rechtsdogmatik? System, Systematizität und das Demarkationsproblem. Colloquium of the Department "Multidisciplinary Legal Theory" (Director: Prof. Marietta Auer). 2022 (MPI Frankfurt) [invited] [Scientific Legal Doctrine? System, Systematicity and the Demarcation Problem]

What's in a Name? Legal Fictions and Philosophical Fictionalism. Workshop "Fictions in Law, Literature and Philosophy" at the Collaborative Research Centre "Law and Literature". 2021 (University of Münster) [invited]

Ein subjektives „Recht zu vererben“? Das Problem der postmortalen Rechtsverletzung. International Conference "The Right to Bequeath". 2019 (University of Braunschweig) [invited] [A Right to Bequeath? The Problem Of Posthumous Infringement Of Rights]

Whose Business? Which Sovereignty? Raz On Human Rights. Colloquium at the Münster Lectures in Philosophy with Joseph Raz 2017 (University of Münster)